

Chichester District Council Planning Committee

Wednesday 12 July 2023

Report of the Director Of Planning and Environment Services Schedule of Planning

Appeals, Court and Policy Matters

between 24-05-2023 - 21-06-2023

This report updates Planning Committee members on current appeals and other matters. It would be of assistance if specific questions on individual cases could be directed to officers in advance of the meeting.

Note for public viewing via Chichester District Council web site

To read each file in detail, including the full appeal decision when it is issued, click on the reference number (NB certain enforcement cases are not open for public inspection, but you will be able to see the key papers via the automatic link to the Planning Inspectorate).

* = Committee level decision

1. NEW APPEALS (Lodged)

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/01164/FUL</u>	
Birdham Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Upper Creek End Westlands Lane Birdham West Sussex PO20 7HH Alterations to existing 2 no. flats to create 1 no. detached house and construction of 1 no. dwelling, detached garage and associated works
<u>22/02502/FUL</u>	
Bosham Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Land North Of Southfield House Delling Lane Bosham West Sussex PO18 8NN Change of use of poultry buildings to form 1 no. new dwelling, including partial demolition of existing garage, landscaping and associated works.
<u>22/01819/DOM</u>	
Donnington Parish Case Officer: Rebecca Perris Fast Track Appeal	Herongate 53 Grosvenor Road Donnington PO19 8RT Demolition of existing garage and conservatory. New single storey rear extension and first floor extension and new roof.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/02542/FUL</u>	
Fishbourne Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land North Of Godwin Way Fishbourne West Sussex The development of 4 no. new dwellings (3 no. 3-beds and 1 no. 2 beds) including the provision of a new vehicular access onto Blackboy Lane, a new pedestrian crossing on Blackboy Lane, parking, landscaping and all other associated works.
<u>22/00438/FUL</u>	
Hunston Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Grist Farm Pagham Road Roundabout Hunston West Sussex PO20 1JL Stationing of a mobile home as ancillary accommodation in connection with Grist Farmhouse (part retrospective).
<u>22/02871/FUL</u>	
Selsey Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	107 East Beach Road Selsey Chichester West Sussex PO20 0EZ Demolition of existing 1 no. dwelling and replacement with 1 no. new dwelling.
<u>22/02927/FUL</u>	
West Itchenor Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Sanderlings Spinney Lane Itchenor West Sussex PO20 7DJ Construction of tennis court (alternative to permission 21/03159/DOM).
<u>22/02269/DOM</u>	
Westhampnett Parish Case Officer: Freya Divey Written Representation	Pampas Cottage Claypit Lane Westhampnett West Sussex PO18 0NU Front boundary wall and gates.
<u>22/02281/COU</u>	
Westhampnett Parish Case Officer: Vicki Baker Written Representation	Pampas Cottage Claypit Lane Westhampnett West Sussex PO18 0NU Change use of garage and workshop to guest/letting house.

2. DECISIONS MADE

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
21/03659/FUL	
Bosham Parish Case Officer: Rebecca Perris Written Representation	Bosham Reach Shore Road Bosham PO18 8QL Change of use of land to residential curtilage and construction of hard surfaced tennis court.
Appeal Decision: APPEAL ALLOWED	
<p>"... The site is located towards the end of low-density linear development that extends from the main area of Bosham and aligns with the edge of the Bosham Channel, facing towards Bosham Quay. The area is low lying and has a tranquil character that is dominated by its outlook over the Channel and the adjacent undeveloped countryside. The site is within the AONB. The National Planning Policy Framework states that great weight should be given to conserving and enhancing the landscape and scenic beauty of AONBs, which carries the highest status of protection. ... The appeal dwelling and the dwelling to the northeast would appear to have agricultural origins, however they form part of the adjacent linear development. The site is a narrow portion of land that the appellant states is currently without use. It is bound by the residential curtilage of the appeal dwelling to the southwest. ... Despite having a former agricultural use, it does not hold a meaningful relationship with the open agricultural land which is to the south and east, and with which it does not share a common boundary. The appeal site is mown and undivided from the main area of residential curtilage at the rear of the dwelling. From Shore Road it would easily be mistaken as part of the dwelling's garden. The site is set well back from the road and faces it end on. Although visible from the road, intervening vegetation and the proximity of buildings to either side mean that it is not prominent to view. The proposed tennis court would be seen in the most part alongside the existing dwelling, when viewed from the area of Shore Road to the north where the site is most visible. ... The enclosure would not appear incongruous or cause significant harm; instead, it would be seen from a limited area in a residential context, with dwellings and their curtilages to either side. The surface of the tennis court would be difficult to view from outside the site owing to the flat topography of the area. I note the potential for the area to be used for other domestic activities and thus attract various paraphernalia such as garden furniture and children's play equipment. However, given the nature of the proposal, its location away from the main part of the dwelling's garden, and the quality and scale of the rest of the existing garden area I consider it highly unlikely that this would become an issue. In summary, the proposal would not harm the character and appearance of the area, including the special qualities of the AONB. It would accord with Policies 43 and 48 of the Chichester Local Plan: Key Policies 2014-2029 (LP) and Policy 6 of the Bosham Parish Neighbourhood Plan 2014-2029 (NP). ... Policy 2 links to LP Policy 45. This Policy establishes that development in such locations will be granted if it requires a countryside location and meets the essential, small scale and local need. Policy 2 and Policy 45 of the LP do not relate easily to a proposal to extend a residential curtilage, as in most cases such a proposal would not meet an essential need. Policy 1 of the NP is similarly restrictive. I have appearance of the area, including the AONB. Additionally, it would not result in development that would be isolated or generate additional travel. It would therefore not conflict with the objectives of the Council's development strategy which have been prepared within the context of the special environmental qualities of the area, e however established that the proposal would not harm the character and infrastructure requirements and deliverability. For these reasons, whilst the proposal would not strictly accord with these Policies, I am satisfied that the material</p>	

**Appeal Decision: APPEAL ALLOWED -
continued**

considerations before me are sufficient that it is reasonable for me to make a decision that is not in accordance with the development plan. ... I have imposed condition 2 to specify the approved plans as this provides certainty. In addition to the plan referred to in the Council's suggested condition, I have added the proposed drainage strategy plan included within the Surface Water Drainage Report Ref C1982 Rev PL1 to ensure that surface water at the site is properly managed. I have imposed condition 3 to ensure that the tennis court is constructed of the materials specified in the submission and condition 4 to require the submission of additional details relating to the proposed fencing, to safeguard the character and appearance of the area including the AONB. I have imposed condition 5 to prevent the installation of external lighting of the tennis court, as the provision of such lighting has the potential to have a significant impact on the tranquil character and appearance of the area including the AONB."

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>19/00445/FUL</u>	
Funtington Parish Case Officer: Martin Mew Written Representation	Land South East Of Tower View Nursery West Ashling Road Hambrook Funtington West Sussex Relocation of 2 no. existing travelling show people plots plus provision of hard standing for the storage and maintenance of equipment and machinery, 6 no. new pitches for gypsies and travellers including retention of hard standing.
Appeal Decision: APPEAL ALLOWED	
<p>"The appeal site is close to other Traveller sites with their mixture of static and touring caravansThe proposed development relates to the intensification of an existing site, with authorised use for travelling showpeople. The site, due to the undulating surrounding landform, its sloping nature, and the scale and density of field boundaries including hedgerows and trees is of very limited visibility in the wider landscape. Moreover, additional landscaping is proposed as part of the appeal scheme and its implementation could be secured by means of conditions. These aspects of the appeal scheme would mean that its visual implications would be minimal, and of itself it would constitute a small-scale use in a wider context where single-storey elements such as caravans and structures relating to agricultural and equestrian uses are not uncommon. ...The appeal site is within a cluster of Traveller sites, some of which appear to be authorised, and I note that similar uses exist in the wider Parish. The Council points to the differing and higher densities of occupation on the existing and proposed sites when compared to the more dispersed pattern of bricks and mortar housing in the postcode areas containing and adjacent to the appeal site. However, the same could be said of most Traveller uses in rural areas where they are situated away from a settlement boundary, and neither PPTS nor the Local Plan policies establish that such sites are unsuitable for such uses in principle. Moreover, the appeal site is spatially and visually contained, and physically separate from bricks and mortar dwellings. For these reasons, the proposed development would not "dominate" the nearest settled community if the term is understood as relating to those dispersed dwellings rather than adjacent settlements, in terms of being the most influential, conspicuous, prevailing, obvious, or commanding or controlling element in the wider area. Neither, for these reasons, would the modest expansion of authorised pitches that the proposed development would bring about to the cluster within which it is situated lead to cumulatively harmful effects in terms of dominance.As a result of the additional units proposed, the amount of wastewater emanating from the site would be increased. A nutrient budget produced by the appellant found that the proposed development would not secure nutrient neutrality. ... The parties have put forward an offsite package (the Droke Lane Scheme), which, it is anticipated, would provide adequate mitigation for the proposed development's adverse effects in these regards. The Council considers that a condition could be attached to any permission to ensure that these credits are purchased prior to occupation of the proposed pitches, and I concur, based on the information received, that this would be an enforceable and reasonable use of conditions in this case, which would effectively secure mitigation of the proposed development's nutrient impacts. These considerations, taken together, give sufficient certainty that the mitigation would be secured prior to occupation of the proposed pitches. ... A duly executed planning obligation has been submitted with the appeal which secures contribution to a package of mitigation measures including the provision of rangers, education and visitor information, to assist in the protection of bird species present at the European Site from recreational disturbance. ... the distances to services from the appeal site are not excessive. It is also relevant that the Framework (at paragraph 105) is clear that opportunities to maximise sustainable transport solutions will vary between urban and rural areas. Taking these considerations together leads me to the view that the appeal scheme would be in an appropriate location for the purposes of Policy 36 of the Local Plan insofar as it relates to the provision of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation. ...The appeal scheme would accord with the development plan insofar as the policies drawn to my attention are concerned. Accordingly, for the reasons set out above, and taking into account all other matters raised, I conclude that the appeal should succeed."</p>	

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
22/00073/FUL	
Oving Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Land At 1 New Cottages Coach Road (South) Shopwhyke Oving Chichester West Sussex PO20 2BG Proposed 2 storey detached 2 bedroom dwelling.
Appeal Decision: APPEAL DISMISSED	
<p>"...the proposed development would conflict with the development strategy of the Local Plan and thus not be a suitable location for housing in these terms. ... the proposed dwelling would be located much closer to the roadside than adjacent two-storey houses. This combined with the overall scale and massing of the proposed house, the expansive area to its front given over to access and parking, and the lack of boundary treatments and landscaping proposed adjacent to that area would impart a dominant and assertive character to the appeal scheme. These aspects of the appeal scheme, taken together with the proposed dwelling's tight relationship with the site's boundaries, would impart an intensively developed appearance to the plot, which would offer a strong contrast to the looser pattern of development in its surroundings. For these reasons, the proposed development would be a discordant and incongruous addition to the immediate streetscene. ... Accordingly, taking the above considerations together leads me to the clear conclusion on this main issue that the proposed development would cause material harm to the character and appearance of the area. ...Accordingly, taking these matters together leads me to the view that the proposed development's adverse character and appearance impacts are the prevailing consideration in this case, which significantly outweigh its benefits, when assessed against the policies of the Framework taken as a whole."</p>	

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
22/00606/FUL	
<p>Selsey Parish</p> <p>Case Officer: Emma Kierans</p> <p>Written Representation</p>	<p>21 Vincent Road Selsey Chichester West Sussex PO20 9DQ</p> <p>Erection of 1 no. 2 bed bungalow (resubmission of SY/21/01820/FUL).</p>
Appeal Decision: APPEAL DISMISSED	
<p>"The appeal is dismissed.As the proposed dwelling would be largely surrounded by existing back gardens, it would be unacceptably incongruous. Moreover, because the built-up appearance of the proposed dwelling and its associated hard surfaces, including the lengthy drive, would erode the important openness and greenery at the back of the dwellings in Vincent Road, the proposal would harmfully diminish the sense of place. ... Therefore, I consider that the proposed development would harm the character and appearance of the surrounding area. ... It would be contrary to LP Policy 51 which requires appropriate avoidance and/or mitigation measures for the effects of development on the SPA, the Habitats Regulations, and the Framework which aims to conserve and enhance the natural environment. ... The benefits of the proposal would include a welcome new dwelling in a reasonably accessible location, jobs during construction and the future occupiers' likely support for local shops and services. However, even if the application of policies in the Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance were to not provide a clear reason for refusing the development proposed, the harm identified in the main issue would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the Framework taken as a whole, so planning permission should not be granted. I have found that the proposed development would be contrary to the Development Plan when taken as a whole. The other considerations in this case, including the Framework, do not outweigh that conflict."</p>	

3. IN PROGRESS

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
* <u>20/02066/OUT</u>	
Birdham Parish Case Officer: Jeremy Bushell Informal Hearings 05-Jul-2023 Chichester District Council East Pallant House PO19 1TY	Koolbergen, Kelly's Nurseries And Bellfield Nurseries Bell Lane Birdham Chichester West Sussex PO20 7HY Outline Application with all matters reserved apart from access for the erection of up to 73 dwellings, open space and associated works, Class E(g) employment floorspace and Class E(a) retail floorspace.
* <u>21/01830/OUT</u>	
Birdham Parish Case Officer: Andrew Robbins Public Inquiry 12-Sep-2023 Venue to be confirmed	Land Off Main Road Birdham Chichester West Sussex PO20 7HU Outline planning application for up to 150 dwellings (including 30% affordable housing) with community park, public open space, landscaping and sustainable drainage system (SuDS) and vehicular access point. All matters reserved except for means of access.
* <u>21/00571/FUL</u>	
Bosham Parish Case Officer: Jeremy Bushell Public Inquiry 03-Oct-2023 Venue to be confirmed	Land North Of Highgrove Farm Main Road Bosham West Sussex Construction of 300 dwellings (including 90 affordable dwellings), community hall, public open space, associated works and 2 no. accesses from the A259 (one temporary for construction).
<u>22/02553/DOM</u>	
Bosham Parish Case Officer: Freya Divey Fast Track Appeal	Brooks Farm Cottage Brooks Lane Bosham West Sussex PO18 8JX Detached garage with home office above.
<u>20/00040/CONENG</u>	
Chichester Parish Case Officer: Mr Michael Coates-Evans Written Representation	Land North West Of Newbridge Farm Salthill Road Fishbourne West Sussex Appeal against CC/154

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>20/03320/OUTEIA</u>	
<p>Chidham & Hambrook Parish Case Officer: Jane Thatcher Public Inquiry reconvening 24-July-2023 Emsworth Baptist Church North Street Emsworth PO10 7BY</p>	<p>Land East Of Broad Road Broad Road Nutbourne West Sussex</p> <p>Outline planning application (with all matters reserved except access) for up to 132 dwellings and provision of associated infrastructure.</p>
<u>20/03321/OUTEIA</u>	
<p>Chidham & Hambrook Parish Case Officer: Jane Thatcher Public Inquiry reconvening 24-July-2023 Emsworth Baptist Church North Street Emsworth PO10 7BY</p>	<p>Land North Of A259 Flat Farm Main Road Chidham West Sussex</p> <p>Outline planning application (with all matters reserved except access) for up to 68 no. dwellings and provision of associated infrastructure.</p>
<u>* 21/02303/OUT</u>	
<p>Chidham & Hambrook Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation</p>	<p>Caravan And Camping Site Orchard Farm Drift Lane Bosham Chichester West Sussex PO18 8PP</p> <p>Outline Application (with all matter reserved accept Access) for the demolition of caravan repair building, cessation of use of land for caravan storage and removal of hardstandings and erection of 1no 4bed, 3no 3 bed, 4no 2bed and 1no 1 bed bungalows.</p>
<u>* 21/02361/FUL</u>	
<p>Chidham & Hambrook Parish Case Officer: Jane Thatcher Written Representation</p>	<p>Cockleberry Farm Main Road Bosham Chichester West Sussex PO18 8PN</p> <p>Demolition of existing warehouse buildings, B8 container storage, residential caravans/park homes and stables and the erection of 9 no. dwellings and associated works including landscaping and access alterations.</p>

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/01366/FUL</u>	
East Wittering And Bracklesham Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land To Rear Of Co-Op Store Bracklesham Lane Bracklesham Bay West Sussex 1 no. detached dwelling (plot 1).
<u>22/01367/FUL</u>	
East Wittering And Bracklesham Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land To Rear Of Co-Op Store Bracklesham Lane Bracklesham Bay West Sussex 1 no. dwelling.
<u>21/03546/DOM</u>	
Funtington Parish Case Officer: Rebecca Perris Written Representation	Densworth House Funtington Road East Ashling Chichester West Sussex PO18 9AP Extension and change use of existing garage creating ancillary self-contained annexe.
<u>22/01560/DOM</u>	
Hunston Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Fast Track Appeal	Bell Barn Church Lane Hunston PO20 1AJ Change of use of detached garage, studio and garden room to create 1 no. annexe and alterations to fenestration.
<u>21/02428/FUL</u>	
Linchmere Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land North Of 1 To 16 Sturt Avenue Camelsdale Linchmere West Sussex GU27 3SJ 9 no. new dwelling houses and 9 no. carports/studios with associated access, infrastructure, parking and landscaping.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/01593/FUL</u>	
Linchmere Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land North Of 1 To 16 Sturt Avenue Camelsdale Linchmere West Sussex GU27 3SJ New bridge access.
<u>19/01400/FUL</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Martin Mew Written Representation	Moores Cottage Loxwood Road Alfold Bars Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0QS Erection of a detached dwelling following demolition of free-standing garage.
<u>* 21/02849/FUL</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Written Representation	Land South West Of Willets Way Willetts Way Loxwood West Sussex 5 no. residential dwellings, vehicular and pedestrian access and hard and soft landscaping.
<u>22/00470/PA3Q</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Mill House Farm Drungewick Lane Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0RS Proposed change of use from agricultural buildings to 4 dwellings - (C3 Use class); Class Q (a).
<u>22/00637/PA3Q</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Mill House Farm Drungewick Lane Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0RS Proposed change of use from agricultural building to 1 dwelling - (C3 Use class).
<u>22/01565/ELD</u>	
Loxwood Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Informal Hearings	Loxwood Farm Brewhurst Lane Loxwood West Sussex RH14 0RJ Existing lawful development use of land as garden curtilage.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>22/01003/FUL</u>	
Oving Parish Case Officer: Joanne Prichard Written Representation	Littlemead Business Centre, S & R Interiors Limited Tangmere Road Tangmere West Sussex PO20 2EU Two storey rear extension employing class uses E(g)(iii) and B8 Ground Floor with ancillary offices on first floor mezzanine E(g)(i) plus PV to roof.
<u>21/01697/PA3Q</u>	
Plaistow And Ifold Parish Case Officer: Rebecca Perris Written Representation	Premier Treecare & Conservation Ltd Oxencroft Ifold Bridge Lanelfold Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0UJ Prior notification for the change of use of agricultural buildings to 1 no. dwelling (C3 Use Class) with alterations to fenestration.
<u>20/00414/CONHH</u>	
Plaistow And Ifold Parish Case Officer: Sue Payne Public Inquiry	Oxencroft Ifold Bridge Lane Ifold Loxwood Billingshurst West Sussex RH14 0UJ Appeal against Enforcement Notice PS/71.
<u>20/02785/ELD</u>	
Sidlesham Parish Case Officer: Emma Kierans Written Representation	Jardene Nursery Chalk Lane Sidlesham Chichester West Sussex PO20 7LW Use of building 3 for B1 and B8 purposes.
<u>22/00585/FUL</u>	
Sidlesham Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Informal Hearings	Land South Of Telephone Exchange Selsey Road Sidlesham West Sussex Stationing of 1 additional caravan for gypsy-traveller families along with associated utility building, hard standing and landscaping.
<u>22/01038/PA3Q</u>	
Sidlesham Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	Butskiln Street End Road Sidlesham Chichester West Sussex PO20 7QD Change of use of agricultural building to form 1 no. dwelling (Use Class C3) and associated operational development.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>20/02077/FUL</u>	
Southbourne Parish Case Officer: Martin Mew Written Representation	Marina Farm Thorney Road Southbourne Emsworth Hampshire PO10 8BZ Redevelopment of previously developed land. Removal of existing 5 no. buildings. Proposed 1 no. dwelling.
<u>* 22/01283/FULEIA</u>	
Southbourne Parish Case Officer: Jane Thatcher Public Inquiry 11-Jul-2023 Emsworth Baptist Church North Street Emsworth PO10 7BY	G And R Harris Main Road Nutbourne Chichester West Sussex PO18 8RL Demolition and mixed use development comprising 103 no. dwellings and a Childrens' Nursery, together with associated access, parking, landscaping (including provision of wildlife corridor) and associated works.
<u>19/00103/CONCOU</u>	
Southbourne Parish Case Officer: Mr Michael Coates-Evans Written Representation	Thornham Marina Thornham Lane Southbourne Emsworth Hampshire PO10 8DD Appeal against SB/124
<u>21/03110/FUL</u>	
West Wittering Parish Case Officer: Sascha Haigh Written Representation	43 Marine Close West Wittering PO20 8HG Demolition of existing dwelling and erection of replacement dwelling.
<u>20/03164/FUL</u>	
Westbourne Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Informal Hearings	Land East Of Monk Hill Monks Hill Westbourne West Sussex Change of use of land to 1 no. private gypsy and traveller caravan site consisting of 1 no. mobile home, 1 no. touring caravan, 1 no. utility dayroom and associated development.
<u>21/00051/FUL</u>	
Westbourne Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas Informal Hearings	The Stables Cemetery Lane Woodmancote Westbourne PO10 8QB Increase number of permitted caravans from 1 no. static and 1 no. tourer to 2 no. static and 2 no. tourers and retention of stable block.

Reference/Procedure	Proposal
<u>19/00176/CONT</u>	
<p>Westbourne Parish Case Officer: Shona Archer</p> <p>Fast Track Appeal</p>	<p>4 The Paddocks Common Road Hambrook Westbourne Chichester West Sussex PO18 8UP</p> <p>Appeal against Enforcement Notice WE/55 - removal of TPO'd trees without an application for tree works.</p>
<u>21/03135/FUL</u>	
<p>Wisborough Green Parish Case Officer: Calum Thomas</p> <p>Written Representation</p>	<p>Land Adjacent To 1 Newfields Newpound Wisborough Green RH14 0AX</p> <p>Change use of land to private gypsy and traveller caravan site consisting of 1 no. pitch.</p>

4. VARIATIONS TO SECTION 106 AGREEMENTS

5. CALLED-IN APPLICATIONS

Reference	Proposal	Stage

6. COURT AND OTHER MATTERS

Injunctions		
Site	Breach	Stage
Birdham Site, Birdham Road	Of 4 Enforcement Notices	Injunction granted and varied in 2020/2021. Proceedings commenced for Contempt of Court for breach of Injunction by 5 occupiers. High Court has finally provided a date further to the application lodged. First hearing on 24 July 2023.

Court Hearings		
Site	Matter	Stage

Prosecutions		
Site	Breach	Stage
Birdham Farm, Birdham Road	Of Enforcement Notice	No plea entered at Brighton Magistrates' Court on 16 May on the basis that the land had been cleared. Matter adjourned to 30 May at Crawley Magistrates' Court. Site visit carried out and Officer confirmed compliance has been achieved. Proceedings withdrawn on 30 May
Crouchlands – Lagoon 3, Loxwood	Of Enforcement Notice	Not Guilty plea entered on 18 April. Matter adjourned to Trial on 23 August. Initial Prosecution evidence served on the Defendant. More evidence may be served in the meanwhile.
Land South of the Stables, Hambrook	Of Enforcement Notice	Defendant's Planning Agents have confirmed on 15 th June that they are about to lodge a planning application to rectify the use of the land which is subject of these proceedings.

Site	Breach	Stage
The Old Army Camp, Woodmancote	Of Enforcement Notice	First hearing date at Crawley Magistrates' Court on 4 July 2023

7. POLICY MATTERS